

we're wasting a tremendous amount of time on a very political issue and I think it is purely a matter of Democratic votes versus Republican votes at this stage of the game and that is the reason why I think we ought to just call the question.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb, did you want to talk on the kill motion?

SENATOR LAMB: I'd call the question.

SENATOR CLARK: All right, the question has been called for. Do I see five hands? I do. Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, for whatever it's worth, we do have a rule which says that there should be the opportunity for debate, fair debate, on both sides of the issue. This motion is to kill the resolution. There has been no debate whatsoever except a comment and a disclaimer about how we should not deal with political issues even though we are a political body, so I think it is out of order to entertain the motion to call the question at this point.

SENATOR CLARK: Well the Speaker protended that, I didn't. All right, we'll let it go. We'll let it go, we'll continue debate. Senator Fowler is next. We ought to get both sides of it.

SENATOR FOWLER: Mr. President, last year it was in May that several legislators asked us to consider a similar issue. Although some suggested that perhaps it was not appropriate to do so, it seems to me that many of the sponsors of that resolution, in fact, insisted on full debate and passage of that resolution. I would hope that those same sponsors, who I'm sure remember themselves and I don't have to read their name, could extend the same courtesy a year later to have the same issue debated in full. Now the charge that this is a partisan issue, I indicate at the beginning that if one was to take the time to read the record of last year you would see that among those that spoke against the resolution and asked for greater consideration were two Republicans, Senator Vard Johnson and Senator Jerry Koch, and one registered Independent, Senator Chambers, and I think that Senator Warner, who I don't think switched parties today, also has indicated that he could find this resolution acceptable with the amendment that was just adopted. So I would say that it is broader than simple partisanship. I would also say that the situation that is facing us is so significant, in fact, even more significant now when you look at the unemployment figures in Nebraska, when you read what our State Department of Revenue says is the opportunity for recovery in Nebraska which they