

March 15, 1982

LB 202

SENATOR BEUTLER: It would be my intention and I think that the language does cover that situation because he is still under the, although he has escaped, he is still technically under the jurisdiction and control of the State of Nebraska and, therefore, the death penalty would be applicable.

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Haberman on the amendment.

SENATOR HABERMAN: (Mike not on.)

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Beutler, would you respond?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Beutler, as an attorney do you feel that you could successfully defend someone and prove that it was not premeditated, a murder?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I am sorry, Senator Haberman, I didn't hear you.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Do you feel that an attorney could successfully defend a person that a murder was not premeditated?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Do I feel that I can successfully defend a person who was accused of premeditated murder?

SENATOR HABERMAN: (Mike not working.)

SENATOR LAMB: Senator Haberman, will you use Senator Carsten's mike. That one doesn't seem to be working.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Do you feel that you could as an attorney defend someone that a murder was not premeditated? On the basis (interruption).

SENATOR BEUTLER: I am sorry, Senator Haberman. I don't understand your question. I don't mean to be elusive. I just don't understand what you are getting at.

SENATOR HABERMAN: The question is this, you are saying that premeditated murder, right, you have got the words "premeditated", if it was a premeditated murder, you have that in your bill, is that correct?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Or in your amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That is not the entire phrase, Senator Haberman, but that is part of the phrase there. I want to