

I certainly support that intent but I think it is true that we need to discuss it further and I would again point out the advantages of the rule I proposed and the idea that Final Reading does serve a purpose.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Wagner.

SENATOR WAGNER: Mr. Speaker and members, this bill did have a hearing and it was, as Senator Wesely indicated, it was 897 and the bill was incorporated into 547. But again I would state that we got together with the Department of Agriculture, Wally Barnett, and other people who had concerns and basically the Section 14 that Senator Beutler is talking about is the section of law we struck last year, and the only thing we are trying to do is put it back in again, and for that reason, I would oppose this motion of Senator Beutler's and do away with it and read this bill on Final Reading.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think Senator Higgins has made some very good points. Number two, I believe that Senator Beutler brings out a point, he said the item may have been lost for a period of time before it was knowledgeable, before the individual even knew it. The safe door was left open inadvertently and someone pilfered it and 72 hours went by and you would not have knowledge. I would suggest that if you are going to pursue that theory far enough, you are going to have to have the thief leave a note there as to the time and place and date and hour that he pilfered the bloomin' thing so you can tell exactly. We know that is not true, Senator Beutler. You are a better lawyer than that. The time that would be pursued would be from the time of discovery of the loss, not the time that the actual burglary took place or the loss of it would take place. I know that you can argue this point time after time. The point I am trying to make on this floor is this, number one, this is not a court of law, this is a Legislature. You lawyers are accustomed to picking things apart because that is the way they make their living. You can take the most innocuous bill across this floor and you can nit pick it to death. Now if you want to do that, we won't even complete the bills on Final Reading here before the 20 days are up or the 18 or 19, whatever they are, but if, the point I want to make is this, you know we have a lot of people who stand up on this floor and they want to defend business. They say we are going to cut the corporate income tax rate or we are not going to have an increase in corporate taxes because I am for business. We are not going to do this to business. We