think this makes any sense and I think if we thoroughly understand what this bill does we wouldn't support it, so I am supporting Senator Fowler's motion to return.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, I am kind of glad Senator Maresh isn't here this morning or he would point out the value of Final Reading again. I think it is important that this bill has been around as long as it has and finally Senator Fowler and Senator Hoagland finally read it. I want to point out, last year LB 487 was drafted and it was my fault that we inadvertently struck some language which we are reimposing today. Number two, Senator Hoagland always makes his little speech and then he cuts and runs. It would do him some good if he would listen once in awhile. He wouldn't be asking stupid questions on Final Reading. There are limitations on many kinds of liability. When you buy airline tickets, read the back of the bloomin' thing, you will see what it says insofar as limits of liability. When you park your automobile in a garage, if it is a \$1000 clunker like mire or a \$25,000 vehicle like some people drive, there are limits of liability. It happens all of the time. What we are saying here is if you have certain amounts of value, you can specify that and you can protect it but it is reimposing upon some regulations. Now if you don't pass this bill, you have no regulations. If you follow the Fowler and Hoagland principle, there will be no regulations of hotels and motels. We are reimposing that kind of regulation, and you can nit pick any bill you want to and I have told you a few times about that on this board. It is amazing to me that people who decide we need to progress and show progress and move rapidly can take a bill on Final Reading and begin to pick it to pieces. Senator Chambers had some legitimate arguments and I think they were answered. I do not think that you understand the provisions of this amendment of Senator Fowler or you would not vote for it, and I hope you will not vote for it. The bill as drafted reimposes controls, regulations upon the hotel-motel industry. They were inadvertently stricken. Some of the Senators who are opposing this have done the same thing and I stand here covered with chagrin and say that I did it myself last year. I am asking you to reimpose those regulations and I would hope that Senator Hoagland, wherever he is at today, is listening to what I am saying so he does not have to come back in here, reimpose his position upon the floor, and once again make an inaccurate statement. So I would ask that you would vote against the Fowler amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Wesely.