

were designed to point that out. The lady who is heading up this group called "Mothers Against Drunk Drivers" was sitting in a wheelchair as the result of being struck by a drunk driver and she said the officer told her that he would not arrest this young medical student for that charge because he did not want to ruin his career. So the very lady who was struck by a drunk driver and started an organization had to admit under questioning that there is no way to make an officer make arrests. So if we pass these bills, we are doing something only in terms of stating an attitude toward the types of punishments that ought to be inflicted for certain offenses but nothing is being done and nothing can be done to make sure that the people who you are trying to get will come under the requirements of this law. Now if it is a poor guy, he is old, he is ugly, he is unshaven, he is dirty, he is driving a raggedy car like mine is now, since I got struck in the back very hard by a Buick a block and a half long and the driver may have had a bit to drink before he struck me, but nevertheless having that happen to me just recently, having had a car totaled from under me two or three years ago by an individual who had been drinking, is not going to make me vote for this kind of thing. I know what the law is supposed to be and I know what it is supposed to do. If a person is a drinker and you lock him or her up, when they get out they are going to drink again. Take away a work permit and they are going to drive without a license anyway. Suspend the license for life and they are going to drive without a license, so you give another charge to bring against them. So it is clear that there is a very complex multifaceted, deepseated....

SENATOR CLARK: You have one minute left.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:problem that we are dealing with and a simplistic approach is not going to deal with it. When you have prosecutors who could hardly be called anti-law enforcement saying that this that is recommended is not the answer, the destruction or annihilation of pre-trial diversion programs is not the answer, it should give the Legislature pause before it rushes headlong into what it is attempting to do. There is so much that needs to be said on this that I have got to say that I will try to speak again if I can but I will say this, I am opposed to Senator Haberman's amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I know a lot more is going to be said but I wanted