March 10, 1982

come in. I see Senator Haberman every day. I can't understand his saying that nobody was interested. We have spent a lot of time, a lot of time on this bill, and the committee has been instructed to prepare a tight bill that would be commensurate with the bong bill of several years ago that would stand up. Of course, we can't guarantee that this bill will stand up no matter what and will be litigated. We expect that. But this is a good bill on which to start. As I said earlier, we are not glued in on the figures. If you want to adjust some of those, we may even agree with you, but I surely do urge you to reject the Haberman amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I have some sincere concerns relating to the Haberman amendment. The first is that a work permit will not be allowed when this can be the question of a paycheck to a family or no paycheck. If the person is driving in his or her business and was not picked up DWI having anything to do with work hours, it seems to me that is not a fair mandate in the bill. I also have a sincere concern relating to the impoundment of the car, if it's registered in the offender's name, for a month on a first offense, for six months on a second offense, and that could very well be the only way a wife has to get to work, that could be the only way someone could conveniently get their child to the dentist, to the doctor for the innoculations. There is so much that needs to be adjusted. I know that no legislation is a perfect piece of legislation, but the amendments as presented to us or what we're trying to work with today, and I feel this is not the best mechanism at this time, so I will not be able to support the amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. President and colleagues, we have been down in the Public Works Committee having a hearing and I missed the earlier part of the debate this afternoon, but I don't think what I have to say will be redundant. I hope not. I rise to support the Haberman amendments. Now, I think Senator Haberman's amendments thoroughly offer the best framework for dealing with the drunk driving problem that we have in the Legislature today. Now I don't agree with each and every provision of Senator Haberman's amendments but I think that the differences that a lot of us have with those can be ironed out once

