There is too much plea bargaining going on and we find people have offended or broke the law maybe up to 15 times yet they don't have a third offense. With this new bill it's called convictions and once you have a conviction it is recorded and it will stand. And as far as .10, that doesn't necessarily say that a DWI would have to have .10. He could have less than that. If he is drinking and driving or if he cannot drive in the proper fashion he is a DWI, and this penalty would take place, and I do feel that is what we should work on instead of throwing up a new amendment here that is clear out of line. I just feel even though it isn't the bill that I put into the Judiciary Committee, I stand solidly behind what the Judiciary Committee did put before you and I feel we must work on it. It is going to be very difficult for us to work on the Haberman amendment. we have the tool with the committee amendments to work on and if we want to strengthen the bill, let's do it. Or if we want to weaken it, we can do it. But at least we have something to work with, and let's work with that particular bill. So I encourage you to vote against the Haberman amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Kilgarin, do Jou want to talk on the Haberman amendment?

SENATOR KILGARIN: Thank you, Senator Clark. I just have a question of Senator Haberman, or a couple questions of Senator Haberman if he would yield.

SENATOR CLARK: You should be on. Try the other microphone over there, Senator Haberman. You may have worn your microphone out. I think it is repaired now. They said yours is on. Go ahead and talk on that one if you want to. Well go ahead and use the other one. I think I was right the first time.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, I will answer and yield to Senator K!lgarin's questions.

SENATOR KILGARIN: Thank you, Senator Haberman. On the sheet that you handed out, you know, regarding your amendment to the committee amendment, it says down on number 5, you have them numbered, it says work permits will not be allowed in the or implied consent cases. I was wondering, Senato and if that means that a farmer could not use his transport field or on the roads, you know, driving his was wondering if that poply to farmers.