March 9, 1982

LB 652

funds and the declaration that there is an interference with the exercise of the religion. I then strike the superfluous language "if requested in the notice", which appears in line 19 and 20, and then to kick off that subsection (1), you have to have some language, a verb in there, so the verb reads this way, "If such conditions are met, the State Board of Education shall". In other words, it is a discretionary act to find out whether or not those conditions are met, but if they are met, it is no longer discretionary but then becomes the responsibility of the Board to act on the waiver and to grant it. You can deny a waiver then in the event the State Board finds that this school district does accept state funds, does accept federal funds, or has not made the declaration that this is an interference with their religion and the exercise of their religion. If the State Board finds any of those to be the case, they can deny the waiver. That is, however, the sum total of reasons why you can deny the waiver, if the conditions aren't met. Senator DeCamp, would you yield for a question?

SENATOR HEFNER: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR LANDIS: Is this amendment to the Chambers amendment satisfactory, and if it is adopted, can you then support the Chambers amendment?

SENATOR DeCAMP: Senator Landis, one hundred and ten percent, if everybody is telling the truth as to what they really want, your amendment accomplishes precisely the divertion to determine conditions, the things Vard Johnson raised, everybody else. This does it, if everybody is not fibbing just a trifle. This does it.

SENATOR HEFNER: Senator Remmers, do you care to talk on the Landis amendment?

SENATOR REMMERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, this question that Senator Chambers has addressed and, of course, now is being refined by Senator Landis has bothered me all day. I felt that if you are going to have someone make a decision on the waiver, there has to be some procedure for dealing with it and I did not see it in the bill. I appreciate Senator Chambers speaking to this and if with Senator DeCamp's assurance that Senator Landis' amendment is a little better than Senator Chambers', I am going to support both amendments.

SENATOR HEFNER: Senator Koch, on the Landis amendment. SENATOR KOCH: Mr. Chairman, I move the previous question.