

religious, denomination, or whatever", if that is what the purpose is all about, then this amendment does not accomplish it. Now I have discussed this with Senator Landis and a couple of others in here. They had some concerns about this. Fine, come up with, come up with the amendment whether it be now or on Select File on the wild assumption it gets there, that will accomplish your goals, I will support it. But this amendment does not do that. This amendment just destroys the bill by...ask Vard Johnson, I think he is a pretty bright lawyer and he will tell you the same thing...what this does is takes the whole bill out of what you have done and just says the Board of Education can do whatever they want but it doesn't say if somebody meets the requirements, they get the waiver. Now I repeat again, if you come up with the proper amendment, I will support it. This doesn't happen to be it so I oppose the amendment but I do agree with doing something on the very concern they raised. I don't think it is a concern but I can see why somebody might have reservations. If you come up with the proper language, I will support it.

SENATOR HEFNER: Senator Wesely, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise in support of the Chambers amendment. Senator Fowler said it reaches the point at which we all try and strive, the golden land of compromise where we can try and see one another's positions and come to a point at which both sides can feel comfortable with a piece of legislation. Now perhaps as Senator DeCamp says, Senator Chambers has not reached that land quite yet but it is a step in the direction that I think we are all trying to strive for. On the kill motion I talked about killing the bill and I supported the kill motion because it seemed clear there wasn't the degree of compromising on both sides and it was necessary to reach that middle ground that we are trying to strive for, and maybe they are not possible but, nevertheless, I think that is what we always try and work toward in this Legislature. But it seems to me quite clear that somehow a discretionary authority to the Board of Education is what we are looking for. Senator Landis is working on language right now. Senator DeCamp has talked about supporting it. It seems to me clear that if we are going to see that golden land we always talk about, that potential for compromise, that this is the sort of area we have got to work in. It seems to me quite clear that we have to have some discretion. We can't have an absolute grant of waiver of these sort of standards in every case where they are applied for, which is what the present bill as I understand it would have you provide. It seems clear to me that we have to be able to