

speak often of being of benefit to all mankind but in practice seem only bent on dominating all mankind. In the last two decades the tentacles of the social octopus have often entangled themselves in the affairs of North and South America." Now were they talking about the Danish and the Finnish and the Swedes entangling themselves in the affairs of North and South America? Are we seeing the French, France right now has a Socialist government, are we seeing the French entangling themselves in the affairs of North and South America? Now let me go to another passage about Rhodesia which I know will be of particular interest to Senator Chambers and I pointed this passage out to him earlier. There is a long discussion about Rhodesia and the kind of a government it has and then the statement is made, "Rhodesia has been accused of being an all white racist regime which is totally false." This booklet asserts that the accusation that Rhodesia is an all white racist regime is totally false. Now I think Senator Chambers and a lot of other black Americans would take issue with that statement. There surely is evidence that parts of the Rhodesia regime are all white and that parts of it are racist. Now I could go on and on through this booklet and point out other interpretations of recent history that it seems to me are value laden and are not objective. I think the passage about Watergate is really quite interesting. The statement is made after a discussion of Watergate and the bug-ging of the National Democratic Headquarters, "The question for future historians is whether Watergate would have ever been the national issue it was if the news media had not greatly played up the event." I mean this almost lays at the feet of the news media, responsibility for Watergate, not those principles that were involved. Now I'm sure some of you in this body may tend to agree with some of the sentiments that are expressed in this pamphlet about Watergate and about Rhodesia but the point is that we should be teaching our children neutral things, not heavily value laden things in our public schools. Now given the way a lot of these Christian Schools operate and that is, these materials are written out of state and brought into Nebraska and the non-certified teacher persons who supervise instruction don't really teach anything. All they do is supervise the children while they read these things. If the State Department of Education rules as I assume it will, that these things are not acceptable, why then where is LB 652 going to put the people that operate these schools? I mean, is it really practical? Are we coming out with a compromise here that is not going to satisfy anybody, that is going to be very difficult to administer, that is introducing a lot of ambiguity and confusion into current law, that seems to me we go one way or the other and that LB 652 does neither and I would encourage you to kill this thing or to vote against its advancement. Thank you, Mr. President.