

March 8, 1982

LB 817

I think that is very broad when we are talking about some of the blighted and substandard areas due to lack of economic development. And then strike on page 4 at the top of the page, lines 2 through 6, all of subsection (4)... "Such conditions are beyond remedy and control solely by regulatory process and exercise of the police power and cannot be dealt with effectively by the ordinary operations of private enterprise without the aids provided in this act". I suggest again that that language is far too broad and should be stricken from LB 817. I would urge the body's adoption of this amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members, originally this was a list of four separate amendments and I suggested to Senator Vickers that he draw them into one. He agreed to do so. In return I agreed to accept the amendment. This has to do with the policy language of the bill. I wish to signal to the body and to the critics of this concept that I am negotiable and willing to draw a bill the parameters of which does not frighten the body and towards that end I am accepting these four amendments. I would also urge the body in the event that there are to be additional changes in this bill that they appear in the Journal. I would like to have a chance to see these amendments and to react to them on less than 15 minutes notice, and personally I would suggest that we adopt these four amendments, move the bill to Select File, if there are objections that they be printed, give us a chance to discuss them and where they are reasonable as these four are reasonable, I think you will find me a willing and reasonable man and capable of drawing this bill in a way that is satisfactory, at least to a majority. I assume that nothing short of dynamite or a threat of harm to the family could provoke Senator Beutler and Senator Vickers to support the bill, but those who remain reasonable on the issue I am prepared to discuss it with them between now and Select File. I would adopt the amendments and I support them and hope that they will be approved.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Newell. The question before the House is the adoption of the Vickers amendment. Would you like to close, Senator Vickers?

SENATOR VICKERS: Thank you, Mr. President. First, I would like to say that Senator Landis is correct in indicating that he has been very receptive to some of these language changes. He suggests that further amendments may be printed in the Journal and I would apologize to the body that these