

March 4, 1982

LB 628

they both play basketball or football or whatever it might be. It is kind of silly to think about mandating these kind of activities when we don't...the school districts and boards don't have enough money to fund the basics. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I hate to speak twice but I spoke briefly. I want to make something super clear because I agree with Senator Hefner and I agree with Senator Peterson, by golly, if we are going to mandate new programs, let's be paying for them. It makes sense. I agree. This bill doesn't mandate a single new program, that is not the bill, and that is where I think we got a little confused on. What it does do is say, hey, if you have got a program or if you are going to have a program, all we are asking is that you don't discriminate on the basis of sex. It doesn't order anybody to have a single program but it just says in the areas of education, athletics is one part of it, employment is a part of it, deciding what courses you are going to have is a part of it, you don't say somebody can't be in something strictly as a result of being a male or a female. Now that doesn't mean you don't recognize differences between the sexes and have separate bathrooms and all that, it doesn't mean that at all. It just says with what you are dealing with you don't discriminate on the basis of sex in those areas. Senator Peterson has a second amendment. My initial reaction was, hey, I suppose we will oppose it, but after studying it a little, we found that not only is it a good amendment but with a minor fine tuning, it is a great amendment. So I hope we could get through this one to the good amendment he has got coming next.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, Senator Remmers is awfully strong here. I can't get this thing loose but, nevertheless, I am going to do the best that I can. I am not going to have too much to say about this bill. I am very much in favor of it and I think nothing should be done to weaken it or water down what its intent is. I believe there is far more at stake here than merely female athletics versus male athletics or even if we are talking about academic programs, one which would attempt to recruit females where they customarily are not encouraged to go. I think we are dealing with an underlying philosophy in this country, Senator Howard Peterson, which was mentioned by Republican Senator Packwood in talking about