

March 4, 1982

LB 628

ASSISTANT CLERK: There are E & R amendments on LB 628, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Kilgarin.

SENATOR KILGARIN: I move the E & R amendments to LB 628.

SENATOR CLARK: The motion is the E & R amendments on 628. all those in favor say aye, opposed. The E & R amendments are adopted. Anything further on the bill?

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I now have an amendment from Senator Howard Peterson. That amendment is found on page 572 of the Journal.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Peterson.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Is that the first amendment?

ASSISTANT CLERK: Yes, sir.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, it appeared to me as I read this bill that we could very well be involving ourselves in some additional costs as far as school districts are concerned. This particular amendment says that if there are additional costs that those costs will be born by the state. In reality what we are doing is to say that if this particular bill causes school districts to do something special because of the sex situation that we would provide those funds from the state funds.

SENATOR CLARK: An amendment on the desk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Mr. President, I now have an amendment from Senator Beutler. Senator Beutler would move to amend the Peterson amendment by striking the words "the funds for which are provided under Section 12 of this act" found in lines 13 and 14 of Request #2624.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I would move to divide the question. Senator Peterson's proposal is really in two parts, one part as I understand it has to do with the lid, is that correct, Senator Peterson? And the second part has to do with paying out of state funds. So what I would like to do is to divide the question and to argue first the question of whether state funds should be used in relationship to any cost incurred under this bill, and then secondly, to talk about the lid provision.