

March 3, 1982

LB 522

This other deal is just a slipshod way of getting around doing it and the Lord only knows when it will happen if we don't do it upfront. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: The question is the adoption of the Kahle amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. This only takes a simple majority. Have you all voted? A Call of the House has been requested. All those in favor of a Call of the House will vote aye, opposed vote nay. Here goes another ten minutes. Record the vote.

CLERK: 8 ayes, 3 nays to go under Call, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Would you go get the Budget Committee again, Ray, please. The House is under Call. Everyone will report in please. We have four members excused. There are nine members out in the Budget Committee. The Legislature will be at ease until we get the Budget Committee in. Senator Von Minden, will you check in please? Senator Chronister, will you check in please? Senator Johnson, do you want to call the roll? One moment. (Gavel.) Sergeant at Arms, will you get everyone in their seats please and we will call the roll. For the benefit of you who have just come in, we are voting on the Kahle amendment to the Johnson amendment. We will call the roll. Clerk, call the roll.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 956 and 957, Legislative Journal.) 19 ayes, 21 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Motion failed. Now we are back on the Johnson amendment. The Call is raised. We are back on the Johnson amendment. Yes, they are all on right now. Senator Kahle's light is still on.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. President, members, I really do oppose the bill now because I think that it is a great possibility that it will never be funded for the full seven years that we are talking about and you are going to have state control with the counties still picking up part of the costs and some have said that they have little control now. They will certainly have none then and, of course, we could also argue about the services that will be received if the state takes it over. We've heard all kinds of figures that it is supposed to be cheaper if the federal government or the state takes it over. I would guess I would have to see that first to believe it. The welfare director in the counties that we have now, one in each county, does a great many other services for the public and also for the court