

March 1, 1982

LB 383

SENATOR NICHOL: Yes, to a degree. For example, you're correct basically, Senator Kremer: basically what it would do would remove the outfit, we'll call it, from the place of peril or from the place where it is not safe. But to leave it out in the country twenty miles from no place would be unreasonable too because of the't and so forth, so if there is a town close by where they could take it that would be reasonable and they could take it there. It is not meant in this bill or this amendment to say that they can drag these outfits uncoupled across the state to a place of repair. That is not the intent, for the record, of this amendment.

SENATOR KREMER: That was a concern of the committee. Now then, LB 860 was advanced from the committee, I believe on the 25th or 26th. Now your concern is that that bill may not get attention on the floor and you're tying it into LB 383 in order so it gets attention this session. Is that right?

SENATOR NICHOL: That is correct.

SENATOR KREMER: Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I can sort of agree to a certain extent with what Senator Beutler is saying but I just want to point out once again that when we have the entire subject of bill limitations and we have priorities and many other issues that it is difficult for the major types of legislation to sometimes be advanced. Some senators have a priority bill which is still locked in committee and may never get out of committee. As a result that senator sometimes does not have the opportunity to have that bill heard on the floor and so the process by which we are trying to expedite actually may, in effect, become a slowing down process. I can understand Senator Nichol and his concern for the bill and I see really no objection to allowing the amendment to be adopted so long as it meets the same criteria and as long as it is germane to the subject of the bill. I have done it in the past. It hasn't always worked out well, I'll tell you that. I'll be very frank to tell you that LB 547 which was introduced this year had to be introduced because of a problem of a combination of bills. It is one which you will want to look at carefully and Senator Beutler correctly pointed that out but I would not certainly object to Senator Nichol mending this bill with this provision. I would ask the amendment be adopted.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Vickers, do you wish to be recognized again?