February 25, 1982

unemployment rolls, they did provide things that everybody could use. And so if we would start developing our exports, putting people back to work building roads or doing whatever, giving something to generate the income rather than one after another look at every solution that has been offered this session, every solution is a tax increase here, a tax increase there, here a tax, there a tax, everywhere a tax tax. That ain't going to cut it. That ain't going to make it work better. And so I would urge you to reject at this time taking the responsibility of the Legislature of setting tax rates and saying the only solution is an increase in taxes. If we have to increase the taxes, let it be done through the administrative procedure set up after we have completed our determinations of what we should be spending the money for. And we may determine 3 weeks, 2 weeks, a month from now, completely different alternatives than we are thinking of. We may actually be bold enough to try some things that put people to work instead of just try to reach a little deeper into their pocket. I urge you to reject the amendment, but rather than that I would urge you to adopt the motion just to lay this thing over until we get the Appropriations bills decided.

SPEAKER MARVEL PRESIDING

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion before the House is LB 304 which would...which is a motion to lay over the bill. Senator Beutler, do you wish to be recognized on this motion?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I am beginning to feel like a waif amid forces. This is my little 304. It is just a little notice of Board of Equalization meeting, remember? And I gave it up to Senator Warner I thought very graciously so he could argue this major statement of this major question of public policy, but now it is in danger of being laid over and the whole public policy in question is really in a sense as I tried to explain before I thought a public education issue, not a real issue. The Board of Equalization sits there with the job right now to adjust the tax rates, so it is not a real question and I am no longer happy about it being attached to 304. And, Mr. Speaker, I feel that there is such a gigantic difference between the narrowness and scope of the issue that I have presented in 304 and the broad scope of the issue that Senator Warner has proposed with the amendment, that at this point in time I am going to ask for a ruling from the Chair on germaneness. In my opinion, Mr. Speaker, it is not germane to the bill and I would like it detached at this point.

8024