

conservative figure. I understand now that the figure of \$50,000 is being mentioned to implement the bill. Something is the matter. I have a very uneasy feeling about the potential fiscal impact of this bill, and I note that we don't have an A bill coming along with LB 36. Do we? I'm sorry, I stand corrected. Is that next? Okay. But I still have a real concern about the amount of money that it is going to take to fund this bill. I have wondered since General File why we couldn't cash fund this bill. Why couldn't the producers themselves pay for the expense of the vaccination much the same as health inspections are in our livestock auctions now for swine, or brand inspections in sale barns? The bill as it is written now simply says that testing is to be paid at state expense. It is that simple. I wonder why the producers can't help. You have got a handout I believe on pseudorabies program showing on the lefthand side the regulations as now promulgated by the agricultural department, on the righthand side the proposed legislation under LB 36. If you will take a moment to look down the lefthand side of this handout, you will see that all of the suggestions made in LB 36 are now covered under ag department rules with the exception of Subsection (b) of number 5, which speaks to the matter of testing feeder swine and as I interpret it, it is now thrown out the window under LB 36. The out of state movement of hogs into the state has already been mentioned as being possibly in an unknown health status which could very well help spread PVR. So it doesn't make much sense to me to restrict the movement of breeding stock and then let the feeder pigs move in from out of state in an unknown health status without even knowing if they have PVR. So again I have an uneasy feeling about the bill today as I did a couple of weeks ago for the reasons mentioned, primarily the fiscal impact. I believe education is the answer and finally I believe that the Department of Agriculture can do pretty much now what is suggested under LB 36. I simply cannot support the bill at this time. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: For your information, there is no A bill but there is one on the desk to be introduced later. Senator Nichol.

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, as I understand the A bill it is in the amount of about \$400,000 and we'll call that a "Pig in the Poke" if that is okay with the Speaker. When I was listening to the presentation of this bill and those testifying for the bill, it sounded reasonable. Then came the ordinary