

SENATOR VICKERS: It is going to be an easy question because as I read the amendment, is the new language in the amendment basically the same as the new language in the bill, and if that is the case then why do you have to strike all the sections and insert all new language when, in fact, it would appear that the only new language that you are adding with the amendment is Section 3 that puts an operative date on this statute. Is that correct? The reason I ask, Senator, if you will look in the Final Reading of 353, the new language in 353 would seem to be the same new language that you have got in your amendment. Is that correct?

SENATOR NICHOL: The only thing I can tell you, Senator Vickers, is this was according to the bill drafter's way of doing it so that the effective dates would coordinate. Now I am not a technician, so....

SENATOR VICKERS: But the new language is the same in both?

SENATOR NICHOL: Yes, I understand....

SENATOR VICKERS: There is no meaning change made in the intent?

SENATOR NICHOL: That is correct, there is no meaning or intent changed in the bill or the bills. It coordinates the effective date only. That is all that it does.

SENATOR VICKERS: Okay, thank you, Senator Nichol.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, the motion is to return the bill. Okay, the first motion is to return the bill for a specific amendment. All those in favor of returning LB 353 as discussed by Senator Nichol vote aye, opposed vote no. It takes 25 votes. Record.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to return the bill.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Okay, the bill is returned. The motion now is to adopt....do you want to make the motion?

SENATOR NICHOL: Mr. Chairman, I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The motion is the adoption of the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record.

CLERK: 35 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Nichol's amendment.