

SENATOR CHAMBERS:you are not through with me. This report points out something that should be in the record. In areas that have not been the targets for development, that have not been accepted by the investors will not draw any development simply because of a road, a road of its own has never brought development to an area where confidence in development have not been shown already. And as for that riverfront area, the soil is so weak that that is the primary reason that development has not occurred there, and running a road through the middle of my community is not going to strengthen the soil, Senator Newell, of the industrial front down at the riverfront but it may fertilize soil and make it very productive of other things. I am against the kill motion.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Newell, do you wish to close?

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President and members of the body, I rise with a little control and I want to deal with some of the arguments that have been presented. First of all I think that Senator Marsh's comments deserve rebutting or discussing on this floor. It is, in fact, the President's stated purpose in his State of the Union address about the new federalism that there is going to be some changes. They are not federal policy, however, Senator Marsh, they are proposals, and those proposals suggest as Senator Marsh did that the President would like to turn over road building activities to the states. Now, this project is a replacement. This was on the federal interstate system. It has been moved back so it is under funds... funding mechanism that was part of the interstate system. Whether that will be included in the bill is a question that we cannot answer. It may not be. Whether the bill passes is a question we cannot answer. It may not. And when it will be proposed and when it will be acted upon are all questions yet to be resolved. This resolution isn't so wrong that it couldn't be right, and Senator Chambers' proposals aren't so wrong that they could be right. But, Senator Marsh, you know, Senator Fowler knows and every person on this floor knows that this proposed resolution is not intended to encourage the building of a North Freeway. It is intended to encourage Congress not to fund it. Now I think that is a poor public policy decision and that that is what we are making the decision on today. The policy ought to be, the federal government has committed to build the road, the state government says they want and are committed to build the road, we would prefer to use federal monies which we have basically provided to the federal government instead of state monies and we ought to do that until we know that the proposals