

February 1, 1982

LB 387

falls below the standard that we have established. So it doesn't even come up to the committee's standard. But it takes us a step towards letting an employee, if they have to leave service, be able to get at least 5 percent interest on the money that they have contributed. And again let me stress that this bill reflects the Retirement Committee as a series of principles we judge all legislation so that there can be consistency, equity, conformity and so that this can be in some ways a rational process. And this bill upgrades this but doesn't even meet the standards of the committee and in that way I think Senator Kumery's being very, very reasonable. So there is information on your desk. I would be glad to answer questions. And I will go into greater depth on the fiscal impact which our actuary indicates is negligible....go into greater depth on that as we get to the question of advancing the bill.

PRESIDENT: All right, now that will be the explanation. Now we will go to the motion by Senator Howard Peterson on the return. Do you want to read the motion, Mr. Clerk, or, Senator Peterson, do you just want to explain it?

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would be happy to offer a substitute motion which would be to indefinitely postpone and I just would like to speak to that if I might, Mr. Chairman.

PRESIDENT: Well, let's see if there are any other motions, or any other amendments or anything because actually, Senator Peterson, if there are, we should....you should withdraw your motion to return and then if there are some other motions, why it would take its place in that order even though prioritywise it probably would be better to take yours first.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Well, let me speak to my motion that is on the floor.

PRESIDENT: All right, you want to speak to the one to return at this time?

SENATOR H. PETERSON: Yes, right.

PRESIDENT: All right, and then you can still do whatever you want to then. Go ahead.

SENATOR H. PETERSON: There are two or three reasons, as I see it, to return this particular bill to the committee, the most important of which is that the League of Municipalities