

creating another duplication in government. So I say on no other basis beings as this is not self-supporting, say no to the amendment and say no to the bill. We've got no business starting another general fund agency and they say, oh, this is just until it gets started. Just give us a little time to get started. Missouri has been on it ten years and it is still doing it. So I say just on the financial end of it, say no. Next year if they can come back, and if they can show that they can and prove that they can get the money, might be a different story but they can't do it this year. If there is 62,500 surveys, one survey per day per surveyor, now in the last three weeks when the wind chill is seventy below zero, how many surveys are being made? And with sixteen feet of snow on the ground how many surveys are being made? None. So how can you average one survey per surveyor per year? Forget that. Forget the duplication. Just think of the dollars it is going to cost. The fiscal sheet says \$93,000 once it gets started but how much is it going to cost in tax funds to get it started? Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask you to vote no on the amendment, vote no on the bill.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp. Senator Sieck, I guess you may close. Senator DeCamp, you did not wish to speak? Alright, Senator Sieck, you may close on your motion to amend.

SENATOR SIECK: Yes, Mr. President, members of the body, Senator Haberman is real concerned about the fiscal impact of the bill. I want you to know that the budget of the state surveyor from the state treasurer is a hundred and fifty-four thousand dollars. That is the budget of the state surveyor. Last year he received from the Educational Lands and Funds ninety-one thousand dollars which was reimbursed through the state treasurer. The actual cost to the State of Nebraska was thirty-five thousand dollars. To me if this bill passes, we could be paying for that state surveyor instead of the State of Nebraska so really it would be saving money. In order to set this up he said it was ten thousand dollars. That is in the A bill. We're not talking about that in the amendment and when we come to that I will explain that. So I don't think we need to say anything about this. And as far as the state surveyor getting full control, that is far from it. It is actually giving the county surveyors more power and more authority, to go upon land where they are being refused to be let on so really I think the county surveyors are getting more power instead of the state surveyor. The state surveyor doesn't have no authority whatsoever in this bill or these amendments, so I urge you to support the amendments.