

where this section that we are arguing about is added and I think lines 15 to 17 is not a definition of services. It says, "Services include labor, professional service, telephone, electric service, natural gas service, or other public service, including cable television, accomodation in hotels, restaurants...", all those things are definitions services include and then we get to something that says, "Services include...", you know it is like the beginning of the sentence. If you were to strike all that shopping list and get then to the new clause you have a new sentence that doesn't really make sense. "Services include or who by any deceptive and unauthorized means obtains the benefit of service at less than the proper rate of charge." Now I am the victim of modern English curriculum, I never learned to diagram a sentence so I may be in an area that I am not totally familiar with, that is grammar, but I do think that that clause that Senator Beutler is trying to strike really belongs up I think with the first sentence where it says, "A person commits theft...", and then you would have, "...by any deceptive and unauthorized means..." I think you have to at least move that phrase if the bill is going to make any sense. I don't think the clause that we are talking about in any way is a definition of what service is and I don't know if I am being clear on what I am trying to say but I think that what we want to be able to say is that it is theft to obtain this benefit of service by deceptive and unauthorized means not that a service is any deceptive or unauthorized means of obtaining a benefit of the services. That doesn't make a lot of sense so I think at the very least the sentences need to be rearranged. Whether or not we strike it I guess is another question.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Kahle.

SENATOR KAHLE: Mr. President, members, I am not exactly sure about the language either but I think this is important that we get some legislation this year on this particular issue. We have been a long time talking about water conservation and energy conservation and all kinds of things. I am an irrigator and have been for many, many years and we do use interruptible service at this time. I would hate to think that of the numbers of irrigators around in my area if we all or a part of us even decided to put a jumper on that meter and circumvent the purpose of interruptible service is something that Senator Lamb stated at the best. And I might say to Senator Chambers, I don't condone what Mr. Munnelly has done in Omaha but I would say that his bill that was delinquent is child's play and a very small amount compared to what several, at least, irrigation wells hooked to electricity would cost each month. We are talking