

tional acts and we talked about the unfairness or possible unfairness in many situations of requiring or instituting a felony penalty for negligence...negligent acts. This amendment today is merely following up with that. Under the statute the way it is right now for any second or subsequent conviction a person can be guilty of a Class III felony. This is the two or more convictions section of the statute and so under the law the way it is now for two negligent actions you could be penalized with a Class III felony which is a severe penalty. So what the amendment does is to strike the subsequent conviction sections in both the child abuse and in the incompetent, abuse of the incompetent and disabled, on the theory that you don't want to do that for two negligent actions and also under the theory that now you have a felony penalty for one offense. I am not sure that it makes much sense really to have a slightly higher degree felony penalty for two offenses anyway. So that is the nature of the amendment which I hope you will approve. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Is there any discussion of the Beutler amendment. If not, all those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Once more, have you all voted? I am going to have to call the vote. You are about to go under Call, I am afraid. Senator Beutler. Record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 1 nay on adoption of the Beutler amendment, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: The amendment is adopted. The next amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Sieck would now move to amend the bill.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Sieck.

CLERK: Senator Sieck and Lowell Johnson.

SENATOR SIECK: Mr. President, members of the body, this amendment deals with purse snatching and I have a couple of letters I would like to read to you to explain the bill. Am I on?

SENATOR CLARK: Yes, you are on.

SENATOR SIECK: The first letter is from a Lancaster County attorney, a man by the name of Mr. Michael Heavican, and he is in support of this amendment to reenact the larceny from the person statute. Now this was eliminated back in