

SENATOR FOWLER: So it replaces the term "good cause" for "extraordinary circumstances"?

SENATOR R. PETERSON: That is right.

SENATOR FOWLER: Okay, then I would ask what is a good cause for putting these records or opening these proceedings to the court? Just kind of to establish what we are trying to...establishes the criteria, what would be a good cause to enable a court to go into these transcripts and proceedings?

SENATOR R. PETERSON: Well with the peer review committee, I guess sometimes they have had several cases where they wanted to get into the files of the peer review committee and there was no objection from the dentists themselves to get from their records but they felt that they didn't need to get into the peer review committee's more or less reports and so, good cause, I guess you'd have to be, you know, or a certain circumstance would have to rise.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: Okay, thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Is there any further discussion? If not, the question before the House is the adoption of the amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record the vote.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of Senator Peterson's amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: The amendment is adopted. Do you have any further amendments?

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Do you want to explain the bill then, Senator Peterson?

SENATOR R. PETERSON: Yes, Mr. Speaker. This bill was suggested to me by a constituent who is chairman of the Peer Review Committee of the Nebraska Dental Association. That association as with similar medical groups has local committees and a statewide supervisory committee to view inquiries and complaints concerning dentists. The committees are made up of dentists which is the reason for the name, Peer Review, and each local committee investigates complaints in the committee's area. Once an investigation is complete the peer review committee informs the dentist involved of the results and where possible, act as