

in this bill as amended has come down the middle of the road and the people in my district that have been concerned on both sides of it have generally accepted the concept of the bill as we now have it and I think it would be on the part of wisdom for this Legislature to pass the bill now as amended. We hope it will quiet down and I am not sure I agree with this "forever" but until such a time that someone demands a change in the statutes, we can change the "forever" to four years, five years, ten years or whatever. I do support the bill as amended.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, colleagues, I have a question of Senator Koch and I want the answer for the record. Senator Koch...

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Koch.

SENATOR DWORAK: Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Yes, sir.

SENATOR DWORAK: If a piece of property has been freehold and subsequently we have a new owner of the property, then it would be possible for the new owner to go through the same process if circumstances change moving the property back to the original district, I want that for the record.

SENATOR KOCH: Thank you, Senator Dworak. That now repeals the Persian law, but you are correct. If I were to buy the property and became the owner of it and I decided I wanted to move 160 acres back, I could providing I would go through the procedure again of filing a freeholding back to Humboldt.

SENATOR DWORAK: That new owner could?

SENATOR KOCH: That new owner, right, but I would have to go through the same procedures with the State Board, I would have to prove that again there was a benefit to me educationally and my children to go back to Humboldt so the burden would be on me to make that proof.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Remmers.

SENATOR REMMERS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, there are some things in this bill that I certainly approve of. I do think that the local officials that before had to decide the issue was a mistake. It did often become an emotional issue and I think it is much better that the