

very quickly. As I understand this has not had a public hearing. I think there are some broad policy implications. Is that incorrect, Senator Lamb? I take that back. If it has had a public hearing, then I withdraw my objections. Senator Cullan, may I ask you a question? Has this had a public hearing?

SENATOR CULLAN: I don't recall the hearing on this issue but...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Lamb, let me ask you, has this had a public hearing?

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, I presented this to the committee at the public hearing.

SENATOR CULLAN: That is right. Senator Lamb brought the amendment to the committee at the hearing.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But it was not advertised as part of the subject matter of the bill?

SENATOR CULLAN: Well, it does deal with licensure and so people interested in it were there, that is correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, well, without quibbling as to what is a proper public hearing on this particular case, I think this is the type of thing that should be addressed in a separate bill and should be advertised for a public hearing which specifically addresses the broad policy questions of this subject. When you have a sunset bill, I think one of the problems with sunset bills is that it covers the whole profession and you can bring in absolutely anything and I don't know how a person who is interested in legislation in that particular area would have notice of all the broad spectrum of things that could come in. I just think it makes more sense in terms of good legislative process to go ahead and introduce a bill on this subject. Senator Lamb can introduce a bill today on this very subject. We can have a public hearing. It can proceed this year. I don't think it would be wise to pass an amendment of this import to solve one specific situation when it is going to have broad implications for the State of Nebraska in the future, year after year. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President, members, I sympathize with