

January 7, 1982

had this. Your votes simply weren't there, and so it failed, not because you didn't have the votes, simply because of one thing or another, and there were the 25 or 27 ready to vote for it, and so to reconsider it and have that vote again to get it clarified you had to have 30 votes. I am just saying reconsideration if there is a majority that want to do it whether whatever stage because it is 25 at every other stage should have the same amount. I would urge you to adopt it.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: I think most of us know what is behind this rule change and I think that we should also keep in mind that it isn't all that consistent, that, in fact, it is a change that is not necessary and would be a change in the suspension of the rules that would benefit a certain instance that we are talking about but not be good general policy for the Legislature. So I urge you not to support this rule change which I don't think any of you have a copy of on your desk so you know exactly what is involved in it. I would ask that you reject it at this time.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Beutler. The Chair recognizes Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, a question of Senator DeCamp. Is this designed to bring the Banking bill back before us in its original form?

SENATOR DeCAMP: No.

SENATOR LAMB: But it would do that.

SENATOR DeCAMP: No. The Banking bill is going to require 30 votes anyway.

SENATOR LAMB: It might do that.

SENATOR DeCAMP: No. The Banking bill is going to require 30 votes.

SENATOR LAMB: You wish it would do that.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Well, Senator Lamb, I wish I could have a vote on the Banking bill right now with simply 25 votes.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you.

SENATOR DeCAMP: That isn't there for it. Let me give you