

January 6, 1982

for those fifty bills good, bad or indifferent but at least I should have that opportunity. Who knows what the right number might be? If there are those in here who do not want to carry bills, that is their choice. If there are some of us that do then let us have our choice. We came here to make expressions in law. So for us to sit here today again and say we are only entitled to eight bills and Senator Warner said it well a moment ago and others have too. We came here, we planned most of this summer the kinds of bills we were going to introduce. I introduced quite a few this morning. I did that because we were still under temporary rules because I thought we might lose our sanity and there is still several bills I would like to introduce because I think they're meaningful. Let me give you an example of one. Right now the federal government is about to cut from Bellevue and Papillion and other impacted school districts huge sums of money. I would like to introduce a bill and I probably won't have the opportunity if we put a limit, to allow those schools to charge tuition for those students who are there being denied DOD dollars to help support their educational costs when those people put their own tax money back in the community. There can be other examples of similar types of bills and I know Senator Landis said a moment ago we could suspend the rules. Sure get 30 votes to suspend the rules but Senator Beutler is never in favor of suspending the rules. Excuse me, Senator Landis, it is Senator Beutler. So, therefore, request to this body you made that same rule last year about this same day after so much argument to maintain the present rule on bill limitations and let's live with it. If we want to change it next January when you have new members and new discussion, let's do it then, not now. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Newell, do you want to talk on the rule change itself?

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President and members of the body, I'd like to just talk philosophically about the reasons why we should introduce a limitation on bills because I've heard a lot of talk about all kinds of reasons why we do or why I personally don't want to or why somebody else personally does and I want to commend Senator Johnson because he did, in fact, speak philosophically towards this issue and not from how many bills he's got to introduce this year or what he wants to get done this year. Frankly I think the situation is simply this. I think that we should not truly limit ideas in this body as Senator DeCamp suggested. On the other hand, I don't think that just because you have ideas you should limit me to forty hours