

January 6, 1982

introductions. Senator Culllan had some bills on that. I certainly prefer, if we are going to limit ourselves on bill introductions, that it be done with the rules and not with the Constitution so please keep that in mind as you decide on this issue. I urge your support for this rule change on the bill limit being reimposed on the senators.

SENATOR CLARK: There is an amendment on the desk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Lamb would move to amend the proposals offered by Senator Wesely.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President, members, at this point my amendment would merely reinstate the stricken language in regard to the Governor. I passed out these three pages this morning and if you would look on those you could see how the bill would read or the rule would read if you accept my amendment. In other words, I am saying that the bill should, a bill may be introduced at the request of the Governor at any time. Also saying that, down lower on this sheet, bills introduced at the request of the Governor will not be included in any limitation. As you all know Senator Marvel ordinarily introduces the bills for the Governor and if those bills will count against Senator Marvel's limit, then he is severely limited in any bills that he may want to introduce. So, without getting into the argument about whether or not we should limit bills, I'm leaving that part of the bill, of the rule as Senator Wesely has it. All I am doing is reinstating the language in regard to the Governor, leaving it as it was before, believing that that is the fair way to go.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Wesely, did you want to talk on the amendment?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, I would like to rise in opposition to that amendment. I think what we have done in this Legislature too often is give power back to the executive branch at the detriment of the legislative branch. We have to keep in mind the fact that the Governor is no more powerful than we are unless we give him that power and it seems to me that we impose restrictions on ourselves, why should we not restrict the Governor? He is not even a member of the legislative branch and yet we give him more authority than we do ourselves. That doesn't make any sense to me. When you talk about the three branches of government and the separation of powers I think what you are doing is saying that the Governor is bigger and stronger and more important than we are and that is not the case. We are an equal branch of government.