

January 6, 1982

thought about the individual introducer having authority, but if it is the introducer then you have the question of biases in the summary of the bill and that sort of thing, and if it is the committee chairmen, in some cases that won't be true but in most cases they should not be all that biased about the bill and should provide a pretty good summary which I think they do now when the bill is advanced in committee. So that is what we tried to work out and we tried to give them some discretion on when they could do it. It is not perfect but it is the first attempt we have made to try and update those summaries and I am open to try and see how it works.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Haberman, do you wish to speak to the bill?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I would like to take the time to rise and support this amendment, or this rule change.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I am not real sure I am in support of this number six. Most of the time when the bills come out of committee and there are committee amendments the committee chairmen or some member of that committee does handle those amendments on General File, and as the bill moves across if there are further committee concerns by way of amendment, they also are handled in that same manner. But some of the bills are not amended by the committee or by members of the committee but by another individual even maybe not the introducer of the original bill and it does seem unfair to the workload of the committee chairmen to ask that he or she be responsible for the explanation as it relates to the bill or who may not have even had the opportunity to do any research on it, and thus would require his staff to go into it as well as himself. It would appear to me that this is a poorly drawn suggestion, Senator Wesely. I believe that the introducer of the amendment himself or herself or the committee should have the responsibility of properly presenting that amendment and an explanation of it even if they have to go to the Research department or wherever rather than pinning it to the committee or the committee chairmen. I am opposed to this rule change. I think it is an added workload and any of you who have been chairmen of committees knows that you have got plenty of workload without this additior.. I oppose the rule change.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Schmit, do you wish to be recognized?