

January 6, 1982

reconsideration. I guess this is nothing new. I guess when you get to the end of the session you always run the chance of having less of a chance to get your bill passed. So I just wanted to bring out that there is a possibility, of course, if you can't reconsider your bill or bring it up for reconsideration late in the session, it may well run out of time, and that would be the only difference between it coming up the first month or two of the session and the last few days. And I think we ought to remember that. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Marsh, do you wish to be recognized?

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can support the limit motions to reconsideration, the first sentence. I do not support the second half so I would ask that that be separated for a vote purpose. The last sentence reads: "Reconsideration would be in order on Final Reading only for technical or clarifying amendments." There are times on Final Reading with no changes proposed. It should be reconsidered. Someone may have been absent, there may have been a variety of reasons that the vote was not favorable the first time the vote was taken on Final Reading. If that could be deleted, I would be pleased to support the rule change. I do not like the last sentence and would ask to have it removed. I would like to ask Senator Wesely why that was inserted.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Wesely, do you yield?

SENATOR WESELY: Yes. Mr. Speaker, Senator Marsh has raised a question Senator Warner just asked me. If you will look at page 4 of the handout, you will get the exact wording of this rule change. It says, and I will go back... it's the first rule change. The last couple of sentences is what we are talking about with Senator Marsh's concern. What it says is for a bill passed on Final Reading no motion to reconsider shall be in order except by the introducer of the bill for technical or clarifying amendments. So what that says is if the bill fails on Final Reading, reconsideration is absolutely in order. That is no problem. But if it passes and the bill has been advanced, you can't move to reconsider a bill that has already been passed unless the introducer finds a problem with the bill and needs to clarify it. Senator Schmit had a problem like that and did that. So if it is killed, I mean it doesn't pass, then you still have reconsideration. That wasn't clear.

SENATOR MARSH: Thank you, that does solve the problem for