

May 28, 1981

LR 146

not going to affect us any more this session anyhow, and then waste all our time next year debating it all over again. I understand what Senator Wesely is trying to get at, but I think we all realize in reality how it is going to work.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Vard Johnson. Is he in the room? Yes.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I have no significant difficulty with the proposed rule except for apparently the third aspect of the rule which says that an A bill will be required for any bill requiring an appropriation which differs from our present situation which requires an A bill only if a bill requires an appropriation in excess of \$50,000. Now the problem that I have with the third aspect of the proposed rule change is that there are a number of bills that move through the body that generate very modest increases in programmatic costs, obviously less than \$50,000. Under our existing rules, those bills may be read on Final Reading at any time they are ready for reading. But if we change our rule to require those modest cost bills to have an A bill, then those bills will be held up until we have first dealt with the major appropriational bills, and I think that confounds the system. It just seems to me that we ought to be able to take these very modest cost bills, those which have an impact of less than \$50,000, go ahead, get them through, processed. If the Governor vetoes them, so be it. If he approves them, that's the way it goes. So it is for that reason, Mr. Speaker, that I would ask that the question be divided on this proposed rule change, and as I look in my Journal, I think very simply that we can by dividing the question we can vote on subsections (a) and (e) of the proposed rule change at one fell swoop. That takes care of the first two points here. But subsection (g) which deals with the \$50,000 issue would be the second question. Could the question be divided?

SENATOR CLARK: I would rule it is divisible.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Carsten. We are talking on the first section of the rule change now.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I can talk on any part that you want me to but my question deals with the whole thing and I think it