

May 26, 1981

LB 242, 494

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 494, there are E & R amendments pending, Mr. President.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Kilgarin.

SENATOR KILGARIN: I move the E & R amendments to LB 494.

SENATOR CLARK: You have heard the motion. All those in favor say aye, opposed no. The E & R amendments are adopted. Do you have anything further on the bill?

CLERK: Yes, sir. Mr. President, Senator Labeledz would now move to amend the bill. The amendments are on page 1302 of the Journal.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President, there are two small changes in LB 494. The reasons for including the escalators, the moving walks, dumbwaiters and passenger lifts in section is to clarify in law what is required to be inspected. In the past the term "elevator" was used as an umbrella type definition for all equipment used to move people or material. The second change would be to raise the inspection fees. The last time the fees were raised was in 1973 and there are over fifteen hundred elevators that have to be inspected annually and there is no way that the present fees can cover the expense to the State of Nebraska in carrying out the responsibility of the inspections. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Wesely, do you want to talk on the amendment?

SENATOR WESELY: I have a question for Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes.

SENATOR WESELY: In a handout Senator Maresh passed out evidently this same proposal was rejected by the committee. Could you explain why?

SENATOR LABEDZ: It has been so long ago I can't even recall. Maybe Senator Maresh can tell you why.

SENATOR WESELY: He is not here I do not think.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Well I can explain to you and give you a sample why the fees are needed. Would that help? An inspection of a new elevator, say for instance, in York, Nebraska, took four hours and the inspector makes \$8.45 an