

instance and because he is trying to help the districts as much as he can I don't fault him but I would fault myself. Were it my bill I could not do it. The Governor would have to veto it and get whatever victory he considers that to be. So, I will not support Senator Koch's amendment but I am not arguing that anybody else take as their principles, mine.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Carsten.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask Senator Koch a question if I may.

SENATOR KOCH: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Senator Koch, I am sure that a good part of your reasoning for your amendment is the federal funds that come impact aid to schools. Is that correct?

SENATOR KOCH: That is correct. That is one of them.

SENATOR CARSTEN: And then may I ask you one more question? I am assuming that these total funds then, even though they may be raised from the local level when the federal funds are cut off, that that would be then included in the next year's budget for their submission for approval. Is that correct?

SENATOR KOCH: What you would do, Senator Carsten, you would treat it just like you have been treating it when you are getting it.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Yes.

SENATOR KOCH: But you would not be penalized for losing it and you have no control over it. It will allow you to try to raise it.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Yes. Now, Mr. President, if I may, one more question. You are aware that the Executive Board has appointed a specific special committee to be examining the impacts of federal funds if they are cut off and do you anticipate that this may very well be, should this come, a part of that special committee's summer work for the future year perhaps on how we are going to deal with it?

SENATOR KOCH: Yes, Senator Carsten. I sent a letter out the other day telling what education has already lost. That is a fact of life. That is not just a myth.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Okay, thank you, sir.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Nichol.