

May 26, 1981

LB 552

SENATOR NICHOL: And this puts sense into making the bill sensible?

SENATOR WESELY: I think so.

SENATOR NICHOL: Just how does it make it sensible that it is not sensible now?

SENATOR WESELY: Well instead of having a district that goes from the northern to the southern boundary with the few tag-ons on the northern part, we have a southern and a northern half of the first congressional district which makes more sense to me.

SENATOR NICHOL: Did the committee talk about this particular amendment and the gerrymandering that you are talking about or did they just talk about the gerrymandering that they were doing?

SENATOR WESELY: Well this idea was originally discussed by the Bar Association but because of lack of information and some concerns they decided just to go with what they have so it has been discussed by the Bar but they did not pursue it and they have taken the position of what the committee came out with.

SENATOR NICHOL: Okay. Senator Hefner, what do you think of this deal?

SENATOR HEFNER: What was the question again?

SENATOR NICHOL: What do you think of this gerrymandering as opposed to the gerrymandering that the committee has done?

SENATOR HEFNER: Didn't you say something about a deal?

SENATOR NICHOL: Yes.

SENATOR HEFNER: This is no deal.

SENATOR NICHOL: Oh, well what I wondered was what you thought about this particular amendment that we are talking about at the moment.

SENATOR HEFNER: Okay, I visited with Senator Wesely shortly about it. I really don't think it is that bad. I mean, if it will help Senator Wesely, well I guess I would have to vote for it.

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Wesely, how does this amendment help you?