

and that is not why the Unicameral was created. Those three processes are unlike any other process in the rest of United States because we have one house and we are ourselves acting as lawmakers. We have those three processes to spread the time out, to let us get letters from home, to let us have the public aware of what we do, to take them into our confidence and to give them the opportunity to react to the policy choices that we are considering. We today are expected to collapse those three processes into one day Final Reading and vote it on Tuesday morning. Now that is taking the Unicameral system too far. I don't think that anybody should be proud of that and if I were not as respectful as I am for a man with as much experience and tenacity and knowledge as Senator Kremer, I would say for shame. Do not say and accept this philosophy that we have to look at the objective. That is another way of saying that the end justifies the means, and what are the means? The means are ignoring public hearings, the means are breaking our rules, the means are ignoring the Constitution and the means are repealing laws in one afternoon in order to accommodate an act of civil disobedience. Now that is what we are talking about here. I understand that we don't want a sticky situation but what is that sticky situation? It is a man willfully and knowingly breaking laws that this body passed. Now maybe those laws are wrong, and maybe they should be changed but they should be changed deliberately. They should be based on evidence and testimony and study, not on an afternoons whim and not on some chicanery of the rules. I don't think that this body does itself proud on a Friday afternoon to pass a bill, because that is what we are talking about, a new bill on Friday. . . .

SENATOR CLARK: You have about thirty seconds left.

SENATOR LANDIS: . . .today, and vote on it Tuesday morning and think that we have done the people of this state proud and to think that we have done them well by the process that they handed to us when they gave us the Unicameral.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I had a minister of the Berean Fundamental Church of my district call me and he said that he was disappointed in the way some people are conducting themselves in reference to private schools. He was trying to tell me in a nice way that he personally, and his feelings were that this was no way to accomplish their means. So, I'm sure that he would feel the same way and so would and should other ministers if we are asked to override the Constitution of the State of