

May 22, 1981

LB 412

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I join Senator Warner in opposing Senator Newell's motion that is before us at the present time. I know that we are dealing with real estate as it may apply in some of our rural areas and that which we may be addressing in a somewhat urban area and representing the interest in the rural area I think the action we took the other day was proper and we ought to go ahead and move the bill as it is presently amended and give us some time to look at the situation as all of us view it from our points of view. I would hate to stop the bill and go back again. I think what we done the other day was right and was fair and I will vote to oppose the motion.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Newell, do you wish to close?

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, I would like to, this has been a close vote throughout the time that we have done it, I would like to ask for a Call of the House before I close and hopefully if this amendment is adopted try to advance it right after that while people are still here. So if I could ask for a Call of the House before I close.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Shall the House go under Call is the issue? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record.

CLERK: 22 ayes, 0 nays to go under Call, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The House is under Call. All legislators please return to your seats and record your presence. Senator Fenger, will you record your presence please? Senator Burrows, record your presence. Senator Warner, Senator Hefner, Senator Vard Johnson, Senator Koch, Senator Haberman, Senator Barrett, Senator Richard Peterson. Everyone is accounted for except Senator Hefner. Senator Newell, do you want to go ahead and close? We have Senator Hefner left. There he is. All are accounted for.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, members of the body, I will try to have a very brief closing. The amendment that I offer is to bring the bill back to its original intent, and the intent that Senator Warner had from the very beginning of the whole greenbelt thing and that is to make it a five year penalty provision for those people that ask for and apply for the greenbelt provision. The purpose for the five years is very simply to discourage speculators. Frankly, I don't want to try to guess Senator Warner's motives when he offered this. I don't think he feels as secure with this amendment but it has created a great deal of difficulty for