million into the school aid and we fight long and hard here for \$100,000. We rejected Senator Chambers amendment to put \$1,700,000 into the ADC. While we are doing that the economy on commodities alone drops \$75 million and here is an article in here on the rejection of the school aid amendment. There is an article in here on rejection of the ADC amendment but nowhere does it say that Nebraskans yesterday lost in purchasing power \$75 million or \$80 million because of the drop in commodity prices. I recognize Senator Syas used to always accuse me of only reporting when prices went down and not when they went up and he is probably true but the point I want to make is that it is news and it ought to be reported I believe in the manner so that people would understand and they would understand that the reason some of us, Senator Warner, Senator Kremer and myself, those of us in agriculture, Senator Kahle, are concerned about budgetary matters are because we recognize the dramatic impact of commodity prices, of livestock prices, upon the available funds for the State of Nebraska. When those dollars stop flowing into farmers' pockets, they stop flowing into Senator Higgins pocket in Omaha, Nebraska, and I think that goes for all the rest of us. I don't think that the Burrows objections are inconsistent. That is the reason for the bill. I don't think it is going to be the total destruction of the bill if you adopt the amendment but I think it is a serious admission that perhaps information to the public is not being adequately determined and delivered. So I would hope you would oppose and not vote for the Beutler amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Cope.

SENATOR COPE: Mr. President, members, 1 call the question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The question has been called for. Do I see five hands? Those in favor of ceasing debate vote aye, opposed no. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 mays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Debate is ceased. Senator Beutler, you are recognized to close.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I don't want to belabor the point although it is a point worth belaboring since as I mentioned it is a terrible precedent so I would just ask that you consider again allowing the Department of Agriculture to send the information to the newspapers but not requiring them, and let the newspapers