

body would consider the proposal that I have suggested at this time. Thank you.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Dworak.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President and colleagues, I very much respect Senator Warner and his opinion and I very carefully looked at the two additions to LB 252, which he is proposing, and I, this is a complicated and a technical bill which was very adequately debated, very carefully debated, some of the very concepts Senator Warner is challenging were specific amendments that Senator Vickers had introduced on Select File and if I am not incorrect I believe one of those provisions was introduced both on Select and General File, in fact, I think I was on this microphone complaining at Senator Vickers' persistence on that particular issue so I think the body has made a decision on that. Now the two other criteria that Senator Warner wants to add to the bill I'm not sure that I'm in opposition to but in looking at them I don't see where they are that intensely critical to help or hurt the bill at this time and if this criteria is justified I don't think that this transbasin diversion thing is going to be something that we are all going to be stampeded to in the next twelve months. I think we have ample time next session to bring these concepts forward, have a public discussion and a public hearing on it and make our minds up without adversely affecting anyone during the interim. I think that is the proper way to do it. Now here we have got a bill that we have debated thoroughly, the bill has passed, it has passed with a large number of votes, I think it was over 40, the bill is on its way to the Governor's office and I think this body has spoken. I think the bill was thoroughly debated and I strongly oppose bringing this bill back at this time. I think Senator Warner's ideas merit discussion, intense discussion, thorough debate and I think to put these concepts in at this late date would be a mistake. I think it was the type of bill that was going to adversely affect people in the next two or three or four or five months then I would say that there would be some justification for it. But I certainly do not foresee a sudden stampede of permits, court cases, etc., in the next twelve month period. If in fact, if in fact that is the case, these additional concepts that Senator Warner is introducing should have thorough and adequate study, legislative debate for court records. I think that it would be wrong at this time to bring this bill back. I think we have spoken. We have made the decision. I'm willing to look at Senator Warner's ideas next year and will look at them carefully