

May 21, 1981

I would love to bring it back and hope it wouldn't pass again, but I'll have to admit, I lost. I think that is the way it should be. Thank you Mr. President.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, Senator Vickers proposal is one that has come to the attention of the Rules Committee and we quite frankly were intending to look at the matter. The problem is one that is very complex though, quite frankly there are times when you do want to reconsider a bill that has been passed. I can give you a couple of examples. Senator Schmit a couple of years ago had a bill that was advanced, passed and over on the Governor's desk when all of a sudden a technical flaw was discovered. There was a motion to reconsider, it was brought back and it was then amended and corrected and again readvanced and passed. Unfortunately with Senator Vickers motion, I don't know if we are able to do that, so, in the last few days there may be a couple of times when we may want to pull a bill back and correct it, but then again with the time so short we may not have that time anyway. So, I would say that what we have probably again identified as a problem with our rules, we will need to identify a solution to and I think that we are going to do that and we have already been on top of it. But we haven't been able to work up a technicality of how do you allow reconsideration for some purposes but not for others. Quite frankly there is a need for some reconsideration at times for technical reasons. So, I guess at this time I would say, I guess I'm probably going to oppose his motion but it does make sense that we do something about this problem and perhaps a more permanent rule change will be adopted that we can deal with the problem in the future.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I just wanted to comment that I wouldn't object to the rule proposal if it is to be effective tomorrow. But I don't think that you can properly put in a rule to prevent our reconsideration of legislation that was enacted today or yesterday or prior to this time. But, if we all understand that that is to be the new rule for the balance of the session from now on, that has a much different connotation than try to make something retroactive which as I understand is your rule proposal Senator Vickers, it would be retroactive