

May 21, 1981

LB 541

enforcement bodies of the state were as high a quality and as capable and well-trained as these men are. When we put them out on the road, I think they are a long ways from home, we should be at least furnishing a sufficient amount of money for them to meet the cost of having lunch away from home, having some of the meals they need away from home, and I sure hope, just like Senator Nichol said, I hope that they will use this kind of money to keep their uniforms clean in spite of the fact that they have to stop and help some motorists in distress on the highway and they change tires, for example, for motorists that can't do it for themselves. They cart them to a filling station where they can get gas if they run out of gas or anything like that. I just think we should, we owe it to these gentlemen to provide a token amount, \$100 a month, to meet those kind of expenses that they are bound to run across and I wholeheartedly oppose the motion to bring this back. It is such a small amount for each one of these men. However, it means something to them. Their morale will be affected in contrast to what Senator Dworak said and I wholeheartedly oppose the motion to bring this back. I think they deserve it. I think we ought to give it to them.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: I call the question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The question has been called for. Do I see five hands? All those in favor of ceasing debate vote aye, opposed vote no. Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 7 nays, Mr. President, to cease debate.

SPEAKER MARVEL: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Again, Mr. President, I am not arguing the merits of the \$100 a month one way or the other. They do get \$20 now for phone and for cleaning but my argument is solely one of not the morale of the Patrol which I am concerned about but at the moment I am more concerned about the morale of the Nebraska taxpayer, and I think their morale from what I read and hear on talk shows is a little low and I think they are getting the impression that every expenditure bill that comes across goes all the way across and I guess I am just suggesting that some places we have got to start stopping and here is one place to stop and I think it'd mean a reduction in the total budget of \$378,240 which I think we need to hold up in view of the economy and the pressure the tax rates are now receiving. I move the amendment be adopted.