

lien coverage in such policy. This would ensure as title insurance grows in popularity and owners obtain such policies that the likelihood for a homeowner paying twice will be substantially reduced. In the final analysis, this provides for the balancing of interest so necessary for good legislation. The consumer has an easy and dependable method of obtaining full protection, and the supplier of goods and laborers can obtain protection but must do so in such a way as to not jeopardize the unsuspecting consumer. Sections 1 through 10 and Sections 2 and 3 are verbatim of 514 introduced by Senators Beutler and Senator Pirsch. I just would call your attention, members of the Legislature, to items that I have passed out to you today I particularly call your attention to the opinion expressed by Dalton, Bruckner, O'Gara and Keating and Marti. It so happens that I used this firm when I was in business. I have a great respect for them, and I believe that if you read what Buzz Dalton says, that you will find that he is not very happy with 512 as it is being presented today. I have given you back again the copy from the folks in Omaha, the law firm there, which says the same thing. And I just would encourage this body to seriously consider passing a reasonable bill this session. I would say that if we do not, I think we will find that we will be back in this Legislature next year hoping that we can get a bill through that will be reasonable to both groups. I believe the bill as it has been amended does that very thing.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Before we go to Senator Burrows, it is my privilege to introduce as guests of Senator Nichol underneath the south balcony from Scottsbluff, first of all, Senator Chuck Davey. Chuck, will you stand up so we can say "hello"? Former Senator Hank Kosman, also of Scottsbluff. Hank. And from Gering, Nebraska, Lou Armstrong. Okay. Fred Lockwood and Joe Huckfeldt. The Chair recognizes Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I would strongly urge the membership of the Legislature to look seriously at these amendments. I compliment the introducers of this bill for going at a problem that we really need to deal with in this session, but I have had tremendous problems when I looked at this bill originally a month or two ago on the complexity of the bill and the idea that I was faced at that point with a choice really of dumping the consumer or dumping the subcontractor. And I am talking about a lot of very small people assetwise that are really dumped to going out of business, to that effect, if they completely lose the lien law. And I think the green bill, the original bill really forgets to point back at the major contractor and just bypasses and rather dumps that