

May 20, 1981

the bill held over not one day but two, and, quite frankly, there is a possibility in this session for any of you who are ingenious enough to put a motion up to kill today and then have somebody else put up another one the next day and the next day and the next day, and not have a bill come up at all before the end of the session. Now I am not saying that that will happen, in a sense it already has, but I am not saying it will again, but it is something that could happen and I think probably our rules are not very clear on that and that it is not worked to the purpose it was intended. So there is a rule change that Senator Beutler and I are cosponsoring to deal with the matter that would allow the introducer of the bill to pick up a kill motion immediately instead of having to delay, and I think that will take care of the problem. In the meantime, for the last six days of the session, probably the only alternative we have to deal with the problem is to adopt the motion proposed by Speaker Marvel, and so reluctantly I would support that motion and ask that you support it as well, and hope for a more permanent, I think, solution to the problem from the Rules Committee at the end of the session.

SENATOR NICHOL PRESIDING

SENATOR NICHOL: Senator Beutler, were you going to speak?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I cannot argue that there is not a need for a rule change, but what does bother me a little bit is that we are getting into our end of the session suspend the rules and process be damned attitude, and I think that is a very dangerous attitude and does not make for good legislation as a general rule. I don't think there is any need for us to panic about what bills are going to get passed or not get passed. I have bills that may get caught too. I think it is better in the long run that we pass fewer bills and that they are better done than for a wholesale suspension of the rules. Let me make two suggestions. First of all, if you really feel that we need to do this, I don't think we need to take such a broad brush approach to this. If in any individual case you discover that the motion is being used in this manner, then all it takes is 30 votes to suspend the rules and hear the kill motion immediately. That is the same number of votes that is needed to do what you are contemplating right here right now. So if your intent is to prevent abuse, wouldn't it be wiser to react to abuse when you see it as opposed to reacting across the board to all potential abuse? It takes the same number of votes, 30 votes bill by bill. Somebody stands up and says, I propose to suspend the rules because they are using this