

May 20, 1981

read to you for a moment what I propose to do. And I indicated to you, you know, that being Speaker means that you get twice as much salary as anybody else does only two times zero is still zero. What? Oh, I thought you said you deserved it. Senator Koch, we'll get to you at a later time. But I appreciate the fact that you are here so we can kind of use you as a whipping boy. Periodically you need it. Now one of the most serious impediments we have before us is the potential for a few members to lay a bill over on Select File from day to day by offering repeated motions to indefinitely postpone. Therefore, I am offering the following motion which will be put on the Clerk's desk if we can get ahold of a Page for a moment. This is the way the motion reads: "Mr. President: Pursuant to Rule 2, Section 2, I move to suspend Rule 7, Section 3, the second enumerated sentence (a) and proceeding paragraph related thereto on page 47 which reads as follows: 'When any of the following motions shall be made, any action on such motion shall be delayed at least one legislative day and the motion shall be printed in the Legislative Journal'." And then underneath that, motion to postpone indefinitely on Select File for the balance of the session. Currently there is a rule change pending in the Rules Committee which would eliminate this problem. However, we cannot enact the change in the time to impact this year. I urge your support of my motion, and now would yield the balance of my time to Senator Wesely, who is the Chairman of the Rules Committee. Senator Wesely, can we overcome this potential danger?

SENATOR WESELY: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, this problem was identified earlier in the session, as Senator Beutler identified it and talked to it. It happened again several other times since the session has begun. And, quite frankly, I think we have gotten away from the original intent of this section of the rules. There is a proposal that Senator Beutler and I are cosponsoring that will be presented today and hopefully referred to the Rules Committee and brought up by the end of the session. Unfortunately, with the time constraints we have, there is just no way to deal with the problem in the rules without I think this special motion by the Speaker. The question is this, the original intent of that section of the rules, I believe, was to protect the introducer of a bill from having a surprise kill motion that he wasn't prepared for, and thus when the motion was made to indefinitely postpone on Select File, it was laid over from one day to give the introducer a chance to prepare for that kill motion. Now that hasn't been how it's been used this session. Instead, instead of protecting the introducer of the bill, it has been used to delay action and we have seen it with LB 466 where one kill motion was withdrawn and another one put in its place and