years. Dr. Gilliland has been here a year. Give him another four or five years and he will be gone. They will be back. For that reason I am saying, wait a minute. You have already asked for nursing. Fortunately we cut that out. You are now telling us point blank that there are vocational needs that you have not met. You don't have the money for that but you want us to give you the money to offer academic courses. You want to go into the spending of money for that purpose when you have not met the vocational needs problem in that area. I just don't think it can be justified...(interruption.)

SPEAKER MARVEL: You have a minute left.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Thank you. When the nursing program request was still in the bill I had the research staff downstairs do a research project for me and I asked them, how much tuition would a nursing student pay, for example, for a year's tuition at Metro Tech for a nursing program? That was $500 or give and take a couple of dollars but rough rounded out it would be $500. The same course offered by the University the student would pay $750. So consequently, we will be asking the taxpayers to pay the difference, more to get it at Metro Tech than he or she would if she got it the University campus. It is going to cost money and it doesn't make any difference whether it is nursing or any other programs that they offer. The difference is approximately the same...

SPEAKER MARVEL: Ten seconds.

SENATOR GOODRICH: ...and they say for example, well we offer a lower cost course. I am saying forget the lower cost course. If it isn't a legitimate program, don't be handing students a certificate or an associate degree and let them represent that public as a bona fide degree worthy of what it says when it is not a quality program behind that degree. For that reason...

SPEAKER MARVEL: Your time is up.

SENATOR GOODRICH: ...I ask you to adopt the Dworak amendment.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Newell.

SENATOR NEWELL: Mr. President, members of the body, I rise to oppose the Dworak motion and I think I have a few good arguments that need to be stated and I think that I can discuss fairly accurately some of the questions that some of my colleagues have brought up. First of all I would like to deal with Senator Goodrich's points first because I think those can be more easily dealt with than those tremendous and weighty philosophical arguments that Senator Dworak