

May 18, 1981

LB 561

revenues, and on that basis I see no choice but to continue to uphold vetoes where they are going to occur. There further is no doubt in my mind that whatever vetoes we override that there will be a like amount vetoed in some A bill, if indeed the Governor meant what he said when he would exercise his constitutional authority to stay within what he has set as his limit but the limit which I concur with is the one that will maintain existing sale-income tax rates. I understand that the receipts of the state on a gross basis, gross receipts, as of the 30th of April was about \$24 million below projections. Now I hasten to add that is not the net and the net will be decreased, will be something less than that I am quite sure but I do not (interruption)...

SENATOR CLARK: You have about forty-five seconds left.

SENATOR WARNER: ...I do not have a figure to indicate that. Finally the whole issue that has been discussed numerous times, the impact of federal funding changes is going to affect this area as well as a whole host of others and I do have a concern because I anticipate that most certainly in community retardation services, there are going to be changes in Title XX funds before the year is over and that the state is going to find themselves looking at a rearrangement of those funds to communities in total as well as between districts and, again, it makes some sense perhaps to hold back any expansion at this time until that is resolved, and one final point, there is \$750,000 in the budget to continue the care for those persons that have been taken out of Beatrice this current year and placed in community facilities as well as an additional \$850,000 for continued movement for the coming year.

SENATOR CLARK: Your time is up, Senator Warner. Senator Burrows.

SENATOR BURROWS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I did not have a strong feel on this issue but I do want to correct some of the arguments in support of the override. The Touche Ross report was quoted as to the effect that the community services for severe and profoundly retarded were cheaper than those at the Beatrice Developmental Center. Now there were some real fallacies in that report because they took a direct comparison with selected personnel from the Beatrice Developmental Center who were selected out on the ease they could be taken care of, the lack of emotional problems, the lack of physical problems and then made a direct comparison, put into comparison cost-wise to the residual population, those residing and staying