

May 14, 1981

LB 466

about morality, Senator Higgins. That is all I say. Either you believe it is moral or you don't. Obviously Senator Labeledz' morality goes to a point where the Constitution begins to raise a question. Senator Cullan is probably in the same boat because he will vote against this amendment for the same reason. If constitutionality is really a consideration, I would like to ask Senator Labeledz a question.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Labeledz, do you yield?

SENATOR LABEDZ: I have got a mouthful of popcorn.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Labeledz, you are familiar, I am sure, with one or two Attorney General opinions when they recently were offered suggesting that the provisions of the bill are not constitutionally firm. Are you prepared to change all those provisions of the bill that were addressed as constitutionally suspect so that they comply with the opinion of the Attorney General?

SENATOR LABEDZ: No, Senator Chambers, I...(interruption).

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. That is all I needed to find out. It is fair. You said, no. So what we have now is a picking and choosing of which constitutional provisions we are going to deal with, and, Senator Labeledz, I am not unfair. My time is short. You will get to speak when you make your motion to move your bill. But I hope that you will look at this amendment, and if you vote against it, then despite what Senator Higgins has mentioned about me coming down here to preach because I have such a huge salary, that I have a congregation which she did not say was attentive. She did not say that I could soften their hard hearts. You didn't say that, Senator Higgins. So it is obvious that I don't fulfill the role traditionally assigned to a preacher or minister or whatever but I will say this about the amendment. If you really think that abortions ought to be regulated, put the matter to a test like you are putting other propositions to a test in this bill. The Attorney General's office has waved red flags but they will be disregarded. Forget the torpedoes, full speed ahead. So why don't you bring this bill into closer conformity with your principles since what you are really doing is making a challenge as to what is going to be done with reference to abortion as far as the Legislature is concerned. I say as far as the Legislature is concerned because history here has shown that what the courts have ruled will make not too much difference. I am offering the amendment, and because I think it is so important, I