

May 14, 1981

LB 466

has a pulpit to preach from and he gets paid four hundred bucks to do it, and is he not in church because he doesn't get a pulpit and he doesn't get four hundred a month? In other words, he seems to kind of think that any time he starts jabbing at our conscience and calling us hypocrites that it is going to make us think that we should go his way but I ask you, based upon what you have heard him say, at least since I have been here, January 7th, who is the true hypocrite in the body? Thank you, Senators.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Labeledz, do you wish to speak to the Chambers amendment?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Just to say, thank you, Mr. Speaker, that I totally disapprove or do not support the amendment. I only wish I could because anybody performing any abortions, of course, I would disapprove of...even one and Senator Chambers is right in that respect when he says that if we disapprove or oppose abortion, we should oppose it even at one abortion per week. But a portion of the Indiana abortion statute requiring that all abortions including those during the first trimester of pregnancy be performed in a hospital or a licensed health facility is unconstitutional. Now that was appealed and affirmed in the Supreme Court in 1976. We chose ten abortions per week because we believe any doctor performing ten abortions a week is in the abortion business and, therefore, should be licensed as a clinic. I only wish that I could support one a week but I can't because ten abortions is a business. One abortion a week, even though I disapprove of it and I am sure the majority of the Legislature does, we cannot accept one a week. We have to go with ten a week. Thank you very much.

SPEAKER MARVEL: Senator Chambers, do you wish to close on your amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, I am somewhat puzzled by what I have heard here, not by Senator Higgins, she provides me with comic relief and I am very happy for that reason that she is down here but Senator Labeledz' comment is what puzzles me. There have been many instances when a lot of us have labored to show the unconstitutionality of various provisions and that never stopped those who are against abortion from putting those provisions into the bill nor has it stopped the Governor from signing the bill, even in some instances where the Attorney General warned him, and constitutionality could not override morality. But now all of a sudden we see them begin to hedge their bets and all of a sudden morality is not really that important. So what I am suggesting is not that you forsake your principles but just stop talking