

one day we were given the assurance that local state money was there without any strings attached. Once that assurance was given the votes were there, there was an appropriation and the funds, the federal funds were extended and the project went on. She said I feel the same way about this. If you can commit by the appropriation of a certain amount of money that the state will have the money there, I have assurance and I believe that the funds will be there. Now if we put into the statutes that we are going to pull these funds back at a certain date I really believe that it is a signal to Washington that we don't mean business. We are putting strings on it and my representative said, no strings attached and this is the way the bill now reads. If we adopt this amendment Senator Hoagland I believe we are saying we are putting some strings on to those funds and I think it is going to be a death blow to the bill. Therefore I oppose the amendment. I feel strong about this. I believe if we leave it there we can put it on interest, it isn't all lost. The money will be there and I have complete confidence, maybe not complete but I have confidence that federal funds will be available. I think that we will have other states that will go along with us and we can have this thing that is going to mean so much to us, not only in agriculture but the entire university complex and by all means to the consumer in the long run.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Hoagland, do you wish to close on your amendment.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Let me just say briefly in closing, ladies and gentlemen, that here we have a bill that appropriates 1.4 million dollars in the two sections to a veterinary college subject....the college itself of which is subject to three conditions. Number one, 13 million dollars in federal funds. Number two, agreements from two or more states and number three, at least one and a half million dollars in private donation. Furthermore, furthermore the whole concept to the vet college bill was sunsetted on December 31, 1983. Now maybe I am simple minded but I just can't understand how it is going to mean the death nail of the school of veterinary medicine, as Senator Schmit indicates, if we put those conditions into the A bill itself which are evidently stated in this letter from President Roskins although I concur with Senator Koch, this language we would expect that those funds won't be expended is a little too soft for me. Secondly, strings which have been stated by Senator Schmit and Senator Kahle and Senator Maresh and others on the floor of this legislature that in fact the money is not going to be spent unless these conditions are