May 11, 1981

LB 165

of this tuition although it is ordered by the State Department of Education. So I am standing firm on that. I have pared the cost down to the realistic thinking. Now I am not going to guarantee that there is not going to be one more student move into KeyaPaha County and ask to have the tuition paid but if that is ordered by the State Department of Education I think that that should be an available option. I do not think there will be any but I am not going to guarantee it. Senator Warner is correct. It could be a small amount more but at this point, I think the bill has been compromised down as far as you reasonably do and still have a bill. I ask that the Warner amendment be defeated.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I rise to support Senator Warner's amendment. We were told that this would be the total amount. Senator Warner is simply trying to make sure that this is the total amount. If additional students move in the school board is still getting a bargain to receive this much support. We can look at it another year but if we are already forced to come up with additional dollars for another year that does not seem to be a fair method to the Legislature. I would urge the adoption of Senator Warner's amendment. It still is accomplishing the intent of Senator Lamb's introduction of the bill. 165 should cost no more than the dollars which are currently there. This is simply a safeguard to see that there is not a hidden cost which we will be forced at some later date to pick up. I urge the adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Vickers.

SENATOR VICKERS: Mr. President and members, I also rise to support the Warner amendment. LB 165 is being presented to us as affecting very few people and, therefore, the cost would not be any greater or much greater than the cost as proposed in the bill but I think Senator Warner has touched on a very serious and ... part of the bill and that is the fact that it is unlimited. We do not know how many people will be affected in the end and as I have pointed out in this bill numerous times in the committee and also on General File, this is class legislation. We are dealing only with Class VI schools but I predict to you that there will be numerous Class IIs and Class IIIs in some of the rural areas of this state that are close to state borders where this same sort of instance might come up and if we are going to pay 50% of the funds for students in a Class VI, I can assure you we are going to have people from Class IIs and Class IIIs that are going to come to us and ask for 50% of their funding also. So I think it

4361

10.